Original Article



How do pediatric surgery residents learn? A sample from Türkiye

Süleyman Arif Bostancı¹, Işıl İrem Budakoğlu²

The education of surgeons is as old as human history and has always been of paramount importance. Although modern surgical training started as a master-apprentice model, more effective and efficient education models are needed currently.^[1] For surgical education to achieve optimal effectiveness and efficiency, it is crucial to consider both the content of the learning material and the methodologies employed in the learning process. The time that surgical residents allocate for research and reading to learn is limited due to their high workload. Therefore, they need to use their available time efficiently.^[1-4]

Learning styles theory suggests that individuals have different preferences in how they receive, process, and assimilate information.^[5] The Kolb's Learning Style Inventory (LSI) defines learning as a two-step process of perceiving and processing information. Accordingly, individuals' learning styles form a cyclical process. There are four learning strategies within this cycle: concrete experience (CE), reflective observation (RO), abstract conceptualization (AC) and active experimentation (AE). The ways of learning are also different for each learning style. They prefer to learn through CE by feeling, RO by watching, AC by thinking, and AE by doing. An individual's

Accepted: September 14, 2024 Published online: December 18, 2024

Correspondence: Süleyman Arif Bostancı, MD.

E-mail: suleymanbostanci@outlook.com

²Medical Education and Informatics, Gazi University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Türkiye

Citation:

Bostancı SA, Budakoğlu Iİ. How do pediatric surgery residents learn? A sample from Türkiye. Turkish J Ped Surg 2024;38(2):79-84. doi: 10.62114/JTAPS.2024.46.

Abstract

Objectives: This study aims to determine the learning styles of pediatric surgery residents and develop applicable recommendations for their education based on the obtained data.

Patients and methods: The study was conducted as an online survey using Kolb's Learning Style Inventory (LSI) to classify the learning styles of pediatric surgery residents between January 2021 and December 2021. The survey was distributed nationwide to 97 pediatric surgery residents in Türkiye. The form included demographic data (age, sex, year in residency) and Kolb's LSI questions. The LSI consists of 12 questions. The participants were first divided into groups according to their learning styles and sex. Then, to determine the relationship between clinical experience and learning styles, the participants were divided into three groups according to their duration of residency (≤1 year [Group 1], 2-3 years [Group 2], 4-5 years [Group 3]).

Results: The survey form was sent to 97 residents, with 61 (63%) of them completing the form (25 males, 36 females, mean age: 28.8 ± 2.6 years; range, 24 to 38 years). The most common learning styles among the residents were assimilator and diverger, both equally represented (27.9%). A significant difference was found between sex and learning styles (p=0.049), with the divergent learning style more common among male residents (44%) and the assimilator learning style more prevalent among female residents (39%). According to clinical experience, no significant difference was found among the groups (p=0.227). The assimilator learning style was more prevalent in senior residents, while the divergent learning style was more common in first-year residents. No significant difference was observed between age and learning styles.

Conclusion: The frequent use of divergent and assimilator learning styles among pediatric surgery residents indicates a strong emphasis on theoretical learning and meticulous application of knowledge in practice. Based on our study results, we suggest that pediatric surgery instructors should incorporate more theoretical education into the curriculum to better prepare residents for potential surgical challenges.

Keywords: Learning style, pediatric surgery, residency education, training.

learning style is a combination of these four basic forms, determining their most appropriate learning style. These learning styles are divided into four categories: accommodator, assimilator, diverger and

Received: June 01, 2024

¹Department of Pediatric Surgery, Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Ankara, Türkiye

converger.^[4,6,7] Therefore, teaching methods can be organized to maximize efficiency by aligning with the learning style of surgical residents.^[8] These processes are shown in Figure 1.^[9]

Accommodator learning style uses CE and AE learning strategies. Planning, implementing decisions, gaining new experiences are their main characteristics. These individuals rely on others for information. They act intuitively. They usually work in action-oriented jobs. Diverger learning style includes CE and RO learning strategies. In learning situations, they are patient, objective, make careful judgments but do not act. These individuals like to work in groups, look at situations from different perspectives, are creative and emotional. Assimilator learning style uses AC and RO learning strategy. They focus on abstract concepts while learning. These individuals give more importance to logic and theory. They like reading and lecturing and have less interaction with others. They usually work in applied sciences and research departments. Converger learning style encompasses AC and AE learning strategies. Problem

solving, decision making and planning are their main characteristics. These individuals are relatively emotionless, more interested in things than others, and are hands-on learners.^[10]</sup>

In the literature, there is a limited number of studies on the learning styles of surgical residents, and there is no specific study on the learning styles of pediatric surgery residents.^[1-5] In the present study, we, therefore, aimed to determine the learning styles of pediatric surgery residents and to develop applicable recommendations for pediatric surgery resident education with the purpose of bridging the gap in this field.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted at Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Department of Department of Pediatric Surgery between January 2021 and December 2021. A written informed consent was obtained from each participant. The study protocol was approved by the Ankara City



Figure 1. Kolb's cycle of learning.^[9]

Hospital No. 1 Clinical Research Ethics Committee (date: 19.08.2020, no: E1/962/2020). The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

The data collection instrument was constructed using Google Forms. It was sent online to a total of 97 pediatric surgery residents nationwide, requesting them to complete the form. The form included demographic data (age, sex, year in residency) and Kolb's LSI questions. The LSI consists of 12 questions. In each question, the participants are asked to rank from one to four the options that are closest to them from the four options. Total scores for each of the four elements of the learning process (AE, CE, RO and AC) range from 12 to 48 point. Using a cartesian graph, the value found by subtracting RO from AE is marked on the x-axis and the value found by subtracting CE from AC is marked on the y-axis. The point of overlap is marked on the Cartesian graph of both values. This point indicates which learning style the participant is in.

The participants were first divided into groups according to their learning styles and sex. Then, to determine the relationship between clinical experience and learning styles, the participants were divided into three groups according to their duration of residency (≤1 year [Group 1], 2-3 years [Group 2], 4-5 years [Group 3]). The groups were compared, and it was analyzed whether there was a difference between them.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS version 25.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk,

NY, USA). Continuous variables were expressed in mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or median (min-max), while categorical variables were expressed in number and frequency. It was checked whether the continuous variables were normally distributed. Since they were normally distributed, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the groups. The Pearson chi-square and Fisher exact tests were used to analyze categorical variables. A *p* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The survey form was sent to 97 residents, with 61 (63%) of them completing the form (25 males, 36 females, mean age: 28.8±2.6 years; range, 24 to 38 years). Demographic data of the participants are shown in Table 1. The most common learning styles among the residents were assimilator and diverger, both equally represented (27.9%).

A significant difference was found between the sex of the residents and their learning styles (p=0.049). The divergent learning style was more common among male residents (44%), while the assimilator learning style was more prevalent among female residents (39%). The learning styles of the residents according to their sex are shown in Table 2.

According to clinical experience of residents, no significant difference was found among the three groups (p=0.227). However, the assimilator learning style was more prevalent in Group 3 with more clinical experience, while the divergent learning style was more common in Group 1 with less clinical experience (Table 3).

TABLE 1						
Demographic data						
	n	%	Mean±SD	Min-Max		
Age (year)			28.8±2.6	24-38		
Sex Female Male	36 25	59 41				
Resident year First Second Third Fourth Fifth	25 5 10 8 13	41.0 8.2 16.4 13.1 21.3				

TABLE 2								
Learning style by sex								
	Fer	Female Ma		lale To		otal		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	p	
Diverger	6	17	11	44	17	27.9	0.049*	
Accomodator	8	22	5	20	13	21.3		
Converger	8	22	6	24	14	22.9		
Assimilator	14	39	3	12	17	27.9		
* Chi-Square test used.								

TABLE 3						
Learning styles by groups						
	Group-1	Group-2	Group-3			
	n	n	n	р		
Diverger	9	2	6	0.227*		
Accomodator	4	7	2			
Converger	6	3	5			
Assimilator	6	3	8			
* Chi-Square test used.						

TABLE 4							
Learning styles by resident ages							
	n	Mean±SD	Min-Max	р			
Diverger	17	29.00±1.97	28-30	0.803*			
Accomodator	13	28.38±3.20	26-30				
Converger	14	28.57±1.95	27-30				
Assimilator	17	29.24±3.11	27-30				
SD: Standard deviation; * ANOVA test used.							

There was no significant difference between the learning styles of the participants and their ages. However, the mean age of the residents who preferred assimilator style was higher than the others (p=0.803). The relationship between learning styles and age is shown in Table 4.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we evaluated the learning styles of pediatric surgery residents, revealing that the most common learning styles were assimilator and diverger. The predominant use of divergent and assimilator learning styles among pediatric surgery residents suggests a strong preference for theoretical learning during their training, which they carefully integrate into practical applications. The assimilator learning style helps learners understand abstract concepts by analyzing their observations. The diverger learning style enables learners to create new concepts from practical experiences by analyzing concrete situations. Surgical residents benefit professionally from a combination of learning styles. This multifaceted approach enables surgical residents to be competent in both practical skills and theoretical knowledge.^[11] Numerous studies have yielded controversial results concerning the correlation between learning styles and sex differences.^[2,12,13] On the other hand, there are also many studies showing that sex has no effect on learning styles.^[14-16] Our findings revealed a statistically significant correlation between sex and preferred learning styles among the pediatric surgery residents surveyed.

Individual learning styles have been shown to evolve during the transition from medical school to residency, specialty training, and subsequent stages.^[2,17] professional development The predominance of the assimilator learning style among the more experienced residents in Group 3 suggests that, as clinical experience accumulates, there is a heightened focus on understanding theoretical knowledge and abstract concepts. The assimilator style, which prioritizes the analysis of complex situations and the comprehension of abstract theories, may become increasingly relevant as residents advance in their training. However, this finding is somewhat unexpected, as it is typically anticipated that more experienced surgeons would transition toward a more active, experiential learning style. Nevertheless, it is plausible that these residents prefer the assimilator style as a means to better integrate and solidify their practical experiences with a strong theoretical foundation. This preference may arise from the need to fully grasp the intricate surgical techniques and theoretical knowledge encountered during the later stages of their training. Consistent with this, our study found that the assimilator learning style was more prevalent among senior residents, whereas firstyear residents predominantly exhibited the diverger learning style. Furthermore, previous studies have demonstrated no significant difference between learning styles and participant age.^[16,18] Our study also found no significant difference age and learning styles.

Review of the literature reveals studies showing that there is a relationship between learning style and choice of specialization in medicine.^[19] This indicates that individuals with similar learning styles prefer similar specialties. There are studies on residency programs showing that a learning style characteristic of the specialty preferred by the individual is dominant.^[19,20] A study on internal medicine training found that the assimilator learning style was most common, while another study involving general surgery residents found a 57% prevalence converger learning style. Among ophthalmology residents, the assimilator learning style was most common.^[2,16,21,22] A study on pediatric residents noted a high prevalence of the converger learning style.^[23] In our study, the most common learning styles were diverger and assimilator styles.

Learning styles across different medical specialties can vary significantly from one country to another, influenced by national education systems and cultural factors. In countries where theoretical training is prioritized, certain learning styles may predominate. In contrast, in nations that emphasize practical, hands-on training, more active learning styles are likely to be prevalent. These variations can have a profound impact on the learning styles adopted within surgical specialties. Ensuring alignment between a resident's learning style and the structure of the training program is crucial for optimizing resident performance.^[20] Therefore, tailoring the pediatric surgery curriculum to better align with these predominant learning styles may enhance educational outcomes.

The limitations to the study include the relatively small number of pediatric surgery residents in Türkiye and the inability to reach all of them. More reliable and generalizable results can be obtained by reaching a larger participant group.

In conclusion, the frequent use of divergent and assimilator learning styles among pediatric surgery residents indicates that they value theoretical learning during their training period and meticulously apply this knowledge in practice. Based on our study results, we suggest that pediatric surgery instructors should incorporate more theoretical education into the pediatric surgery residency curriculum to better prepare residents for potential surgical challenges.

Data Sharing Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author Contributions: Idea/concept, control/ supervision, critical review: I.İ.B.; Design, analysis and/or interpretation: S.A.B., I.İ.B.; Data collection and/or processing, literature review, writing the article, references and fundings, materials: SAB.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declared no conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding: The authors received no financial support for the research and/or authorship of this article.

REFERENCES

- Engels PT, de Gara C. Learning styles of medical students, general surgery residents, and general surgeons: Implications for surgical education. BMC Med Educ 2010;10:51. doi: 10.1186/1472-6920-10-51.
- Mammen JM, Fischer DR, Anderson A, James LE, Nussbaum MS, Bower RH, et al. Learning styles vary among general surgery residents: Analysis of 12 years of data. J Surg Educ 2007;64:386-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jsurg.2007.08.005.
- Richard RD, Deegan BF, Klena JC. The learning styles of orthopedic residents, faculty, and applicants at an academic program. J Surg Educ 2014;71:110-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jsurg.2013.05.011.
- Varela DA, Malik MU, Laeeq K, Pandian V, Brown DJ, Weatherly RA, et al. Learning styles in otolaryngology fellowships. Laryngoscope 2011;121:2548-52. doi: 10.1002/lary.21898.
- Kim RH, Gilbert T, Ristig K. The effect of surgical resident learning style preferences on American Board of Surgery In-training Examination scores. J Surg Educ 2015;72:726-31. doi: 10.1016/j.jsurg.2014.12.009.
- Kolb DA, Boyatzis RE, Mainemelis C. Perspectives on thinking, learning, and cognitive styles. In: Zhang IL, editor. Experiential learning theory: Previous research and new directions, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2001. p. 227-247.
- Babadoğan C, Budakoğlu Iİ. Learning style scales and studies used with students of health departments of universities between 1998-2008. Procedia Soc Behav Sci 2012;46:2462-66. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.05.503.
- Pashler H, McDaniel M, Rohrer D, Bjork R. Learning styles: Concepts and evidence. Psychol Sci Public Interest 2008;9:105-19. doi: 10.1111/j.1539-6053.2009.01038.x.
- 9. Kolb AY, The Kolb learning style inventory-version 3.1 2005 technical specifications. Boston: Hay Resource Direct; 2005.
- Kolb DA. Experiential Learning: Experience As The Source of Learning and Development. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall; 1984.
- Kim RH, Kurtzman SH, Collier AN, Shabahang MM. The learning preferences of applicants who interview for general surgery residency: A multiinstitutional study. J Surg Educ 2016;73:e136-41. doi: 10.1016/j.jsurg.2016.06.013.

- Tariq S, Khan MA, Afzal S, Shahzad SR, Hamza M, Khan HA, et al. Association between academic learning strategies and annual examination results among medical students of King Edward Medical University. AKEMU 2016;22:124-134. doi: 10.21649/ akemu.v22i2.1290.
- Hosford CC, Siders WA. Felder-Soloman's index of learning styles: Internal consistency, temporal stability, and factor structure. Teach Learn Med 2010;22:298-303. doi: 10.1080/10401334.2010.512832.
- Iqbal Hydrie MZ, Zulfiqar Hyder Naqvi SM. Assessing learning styles of medical students using Kolb's learning style inventory and their association with preferred teaching methodologies. J Pak Med Assoc 2021;71:1157-61. doi: 10.47391/JPMA.1437.
- ALQahtani DA, Al-Gahtani SM. Assessing learning styles of Saudi dental students using Kolb's Learning Style Inventory. J Dent Educ 2014;78:927-33.
- Adesunloye BA, Aladesanmi O, Henriques-Forsythe M, Ivonye C. The preferred learning style among residents and faculty members of an internal medicine residency program. J Natl Med Assoc 2008;100:172-5. doi: 10.1016/s0027-9684(15)31205-0.
- Gurpinar E, Bati H, Tetik C. Learning styles of medical students change in relation to time. Adv Physiol Educ 2011;35:307-11. doi: 10.1152/advan.00047.2011.
- Ali AAA, Nasrallah MS, Rashed MH, Ibrahim YA, Rasheed RM, El-Meedani HM, et al. Learning style among family medicine residents, Qatar. Pan Afr Med J 2021;38:167. doi: 10.11604/ pamj.2021.38.167.27668.
- Caulley L, Wadey V, Freeman R. Learning styles of first-year orthopedic surgical residents at 1 accredited institution. J Surg Educ 2012;69:196-200. doi: 10.1016/j.jsurg.2011.09.002.
- Contessa J, Ciardiello KA, Perlman S. Surgery resident learning styles and academic achievement. Curr Surg 2005;62:344-7. doi: 10.1016/j.cursur.2004.09.012.
- 21. Olanipekun T, Effoe V, Bakinde N, Bradley C, Ivonye C, Harris R. Learning styles of internal medicine residents and association with the in-training examination performance. J Natl Med Assoc 2020;112:44-51. doi: 10.1016/j.jnma.2019.12.002.
- 22. Hassanzadeh S, Karimi Moonaghi H, Derakhshan A, Masoud Hosseini S, Taghipour A. Preferred learning styles among ophthalmology residents: An Iranian sample. J Ophthalmic Vis Res 2019;14:483-90. doi: 10.18502/jovr.v14i4.5457.
- 23. Tuli SY, Thompson LA, Saliba H, Black EW, Ryan KA, Kelly MN, et al. Pediatric residents' learning styles and temperaments and their relationships to standardized test scores. J Grad Med Educ 2011;3:566-70. doi: 10.4300/JGME-D-10-00147.1.